Thyroid stimulating hormone and cognition during severe, transient hypothyroidism
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Abstract

OBJECTIVE: The purpose of our pilot study was to explore the relationship between serum thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH) levels during overt hypothyroidism (OH) and hypothyroid-related neuropsychological symptoms. We hypothesized that TSH level may reflect the degree of ‘brain hypothyroidism’ such that an inverse correlation may exist between serum TSH and cognitive function in patients experiencing overt hypothyroidism (OH), and sought to explore this hypothesis.

METHODS: Eleven thyroidectomized patients underwent neuropsychological and thyroid function testing while overtly hypothyroid, and again following thyroid hormone replacement. Their test performance was compared with that of eleven healthy controls at a similarly separated two points in time, and the change over time for the patient group and the controls was likewise assessed and compared. The patients’ neuropsychological test scores were then correlated with their serum TSH levels while hypothyroid.

RESULTS: The patients’ performance while hypothyroid was worse than that of the controls in only one neurocognitive measure – Working Memory Index. The subjects improved similarly or to a greater degree than the controls, when the subjects were thyroid hormone replaced, on all but one neurocognitive measure – Thurstone Word Fluency. TSH level during hypothyroidism was inversely proportional to the patients’ performance on these same two measures, but no others.

CONCLUSION: Serum TSH level during hypothyroidism was inversely proportional to performance on the only two neurocognitive measures evidencing an adverse effect from hypothyroidism in our cohort. This suggests that serum TSH level may reflect the severity of ‘brain hypothyroidism’ during the overt stage of this condition.
INTRODUCTION

The fact that thyroid hormone plays a significant role in adult brain function is undeniable, but the precise mechanism(s) by which this occurs is not well understood (Bauer et al. 2008; Davis & Tremont 2007). It is known that triiodothyronine (T3) binds to nuclear thyroid hormone receptors which are widely distributed throughout the brain, with the greatest densities in areas critical to mood and higher order cognition (e.g. hippocampus and frontal lobes) (Dratman et al. 1982; Schwartz & Oppenheimer 1978); and that in the central nervous system, thyroid hormone has neurogenic effects at the molecular level (Despuza et al. 2005).

Much of what is known about the relationship between thyroid hormone and the brain comes from assessment and treatment of patients with hypothyroidism. Grade I, or overt hypothyroidism (OH), has been known to cause cognitive impairment and depression (often accompanied by anxiety) for more than a century (Hennessey & Jackson 1996), and improvement (often accompanied by anxiety) for more than a century. Between thyroid hormone and the brain comes from the peripheral serum and those in the brain (Robbins & Lakshmanan 1992), but that levels of T3 and T4 in the serum. It is well known, however, that significant differences exist not only between levels of T3 and T4 in the peripheral serum and those in the brain (Robbins & Lakshmanan 1992), but that levels of T3 and T4 in the brain vary themselves between different regions (Costa et al. 1991; Joffe et al. 1994). Animal studies have firmly established that the so-called ‘brain thyroid economy’ is very tightly controlled and not dependent directly on peripheral thyroid hormone concentration (Dramer et al. 1983). This, therefore, raises a question of the validity of attempts to correlate severity of hypothyroidism, as measured by peripheral T3 and/or T4 levels, with cognitive status and mood.

In addition to measurements of T3 and T4, a typical thyroid function test battery includes a TSH level. Because pituitary TSH is considered the most sensitive test for the early diagnosis of hypothyroidism, has been considered by some the putative best overall marker of at least peripheral thyroid hormone availability (Marangell et al. 1997), and has been suggested by others as a possible index of the degree of hypothyroidism (Meier et al. 2003), the relationship between serum TSH and clinical severity of the hypothyroid condition has been explored. The results of these investigations have been inconsistent (Davis & Tremont 2007). In one of the few studies in which a correlation was found, Cleare et al. (1995) reported that TSH levels were positively correlated with level of depression. This particular study was different from many other generally similar neuropsychological investigations in that a significant percentage of these subjects had OH, with markedly elevated TSH levels and associated undetectable peripheral thyroid hormone concentrations. This thyroid function test (TFT) profile is similar to that of thyroidectomized thyroid cancer patients presenting for diagnostic radioiodine surveys, who have had exogenous thyroid hormone discontinued, and are in a state of marked, albeit relatively brief, grade I hypothyroidism. These patients have significantly elevated TSH levels and exhibit neuropsychological symptomatology typically associated with the more slowly developing, non-iatrogenically-induced form of the disorder (Denicoff et al. 1990; Constant et al. 2001; Nagamachi et al. 2004; Regalbuto et al. 2005). Burmeister et al. (2001) found that a cohort of such patients had a specific decrement in delayed recall of verbal information and Constant et al. (2005) found decrements in executive functioning not present when their respective subjects were thyroid-hormone-replaced. While the subjects in both of these studies were also more depressed when hypothyroid, and mood is frequently alluded to as a potentially confounding factor in the assessment of cognition during hypothyroidism, neither of these investigators found depression to be confounding.

These well done studies certainly shed additional light on cognitive aspects of hypothyroidism. However, neither of these investigators, nor others specifically examining overtly hypothyroid patients, have, to our knowledge, attempted to correlate neurocognitive status with serum TSH. We performed such an analysis as a pilot study in a small cohort of thyroidectomized patients presenting for metastatic surveys in a state of marked hypothyroidism. To what extent an elevated serum TSH level reflects the degree of ‘brain hypothyroidism’ in OH is uncertain, but we hypothesized that just as serum TSH was found to be directly proportional to degree of depression by Cleare et al. (1995) in overt hypothyroidism, serum TSH would be inversely proportional to performance in cognitive domains apparently affected by this condition.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental subjects and controls
Eleven subjects who had undergone a thyroidectomy for treatment of thyroid carcinoma and were presenting to the Nuclear Medicine Service for a metastatic thyroid cancer survey participated in the study. This included six women and five men with a mean age (±s.d.) of 33.0 (±8.8) years. Each subject was free of significant current or past medical problems with the exception of thyroid cancer. This included no current or past history of neurologic or psychiatric disease; and no subject was taking psychotropic medication. Radioiodine scintigraphy was negative for brain metastases in all
subjects, and brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was negative for any significant morphological abnormalities or evidence of metastatic disease. Each of the subjects was right handed and, educationally, each had at least a high school diploma. Each subject had been on a low iodine diet for approximately two weeks prior to the ‘hypothyroid evaluation’. There were otherwise no dietary restrictions. The control group consisted of four women and seven men with a mean age (±s.d.) of 32.9 (±8.5) years who, in addition to being matched in terms of age, education, handedness (i.e. all were right handed) and freedom from current or past neurologic and psychiatric illness, also had no evidence of current or past thyroid disease. Likewise, no one in the control group was taking psychotropic medication. Subject and control demographics are displayed in Table 1.

The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the National Naval Medical Center; the procedures followed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the Institutional Review Board, and all of the subjects and controls provided written informed consent.

**Procedures**

Thyroid function testing was performed at two points in time: following at least 6 weeks without thyroxine supplementation (with a range of approximately 6–8 weeks) when each subject was markedly hypothyroid – just prior to the metastatic survey, and after each subject had received thyroxine replacement for approximately 8 weeks. The mean (±s.d.) number of days between thyroid function testing was 59 (±6.0) days. In addition to thyroid function testing for the subjects, both the ‘hypothyroid evaluation’ and the ‘thyroid-hormone-replaced evaluation’ consisted of an assessment of mood, anxiety level, psychomotor performance and neurocognitive status. This neuropsychological testing was consistently performed between 08:00h and 12:00h by an experienced psychometrician supervised by a Ph.D. neuropsychologist. The testing was performed an average of 3.5 (±2.3) days following the serum measurements. The controls underwent the same battery of tests/measures under identical conditions to the subjects, also at two points in time separated by approximately 8 weeks. The mean (±s.d.) number of days between testing sessions for the controls was 57.5(±7.8) days.

**Thyroid function measurements**

The following thyroid function tests (TFT’s) were performed as part of both the hypothyroid and thyroid-hormone-replaced evaluations: TSH, measured by microparticle enzyme immunoassay (Abbott Laboratories) with a lower limit of detectability of 0.08 μIU/mL, an interassay coefficient of variation of 0.8% to 4.6%, an intraassay coefficient of variation of 2.6% to 4.5%, and a normal range of 0.71 to 1.85 ng/dL; and free T3 (Quest Diagnostic, Inc.) with a lower limit of detectability of 160 pg/dL, an interassay coefficient of variation of 2.35%, an intraassay coefficient of variation of 2.87%, and a normal range of 230 to 420 pg/dL.

**Neuropsychological assessment measurements**

Neurocognitive and motor tasks that were included in this study were selected to sample abilities broadly across major neurobehavioral domains of functioning using well-validated standardized measures. The neuropsychological tests selected for use in this study are all published measures and the standardized administration and scoring procedures were utilized. The specific tests/measures and the respective neuropsychological domains examined by each are noted in Table 2. Particular tasks were selected to include domains that have demonstrated sensitivity to the hypothyroid condition in previous research (Burmeister et al. 2001; Constant et al. 2005), as well as domains that have not been specifically noted in controlled studies to be affected during hypothyroidism. In addition to the neurocognitive and psychomotor tests, mood and anxiety were assessed with the Beck Depression Inventory-2 (BDI)-2 (Beck et al. 1961) and the Spielberger State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) (Spielberger 1983), respectively.

**Statistical analyses**

Paired t-tests were computed for subjects evaluating changes in TFT’s from the hypothyroid to thyroid-hormone-replaced states. Group differences in cognitive and psychomotor performance, mood, and anxiety between the subjects and controls at each of the two testing sessions were also assessed using t-tests. Additionally, changes in each of these neuropsychological measures were evaluated across the hypothyroid to thyroid-hormone-replaced states for the subjects and across the two similarly separated points in time for controls; and the mean change in each of these measures was compared between subjects and controls using t-tests.

Pearson correlations were performed to explore the relationship of TSH with measures of neuropsychological functioning of the subjects during the hypothyroid state. Correlations were not performed between TSH and subject performance during thyroid hormone replacement because of the undetectability of TSH in the majority of subjects when thyroid hormone-replaced. Because of the potentially confounding effect of hypothyroidism-related depression and anxiety on cognitive and psychomotor functions during hypothyroidism (Constant et al. 2005), additional Pearson correlations were performed relating the BDI-2 and STAI scores with each of the neurocognitive (and psychomotor) measures.
RESULTS

Thyroid function tests

Descriptive information on TFT’s during the hypothyroid and thyroid-hormone-replaced states is shown in Table 3. As expected, for all subjects, T3 and T4 were undetectable and the TSH levels were markedly elevated during iatrogenically-induced hypothyroidism. Also as expected, the TSH decreased significantly ($p<0.0001$) during thyroid hormone replacement.

Neuropsychological measures

At the initial assessment, there was a statistically significant difference ($p<0.01$) in performance between the hypothyroid subjects and the controls on only one cognitive test – The Working Memory Index, in which the controls significantly outperformed the subjects. The only other measure showing a significant difference ($p<0.01$) between the subjects and controls during the hypothyroid state was the BDI-2 ($p<0.01$), which demonstrated that the subjects were more depressed than the controls. There were no differences in levels of anxiety.

Although there was some improvement in the performance of both groups on all of the neurocognitive and psychomotor tests when the subjects were thyroid-hormone-replaced and they, like the controls, were being tested/measured for the second time, there was no significant difference in any neuropsychological measurement score between the two groups (i.e. $p≥0.05$). Assessment for degree or amount of change from session 1 to session 2 revealed that the subjects improved

---

**Table 1.** Sample characteristics for subjects and controls.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographics</th>
<th>Thyroid cancer subjects Mean (±SD)</th>
<th>Normal controls Mean (±SD)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age $^1$</td>
<td>33.00 (8.8)</td>
<td>32.9 (8.5)</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education $^1$ (in years)</td>
<td>16.5 (2.4)</td>
<td>15.9 (2.5)</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender $^2$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^1$ t-test comparisons, equal variances

$^2$ chi-square

**Table 2.** Neuropsychological tests / measures and neuropsychological domains examined

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test/ Measure</th>
<th>Neuropsychological Domain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grooved Pegboard Test (both hands)</td>
<td>Psychomotor Speed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail Making Test Part A</td>
<td>Attention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail Making Test Part B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thurstone Word Fluency</td>
<td>Language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rey Osterreith Complex Figure Test</td>
<td>Visuospatial Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auditory Consonant Trigrams</td>
<td>Learning/Memory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buschke Selective Reminding Test</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WMS-III* Logical Memory I, II</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WMS-III* Visual Reproduction I, II</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working Memory Index $^*$</td>
<td>Working Memory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paced Auditory Addition Test</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin Card Sorting Test</td>
<td>Problem Solving/Set Shifting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beck Depression Inventory-2 (BDI 2)</td>
<td>Depression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speilberger State-Trait Anxiety Inventory</td>
<td>Anxiety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Anxiety</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trait Anxiety</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^*$WMS-III: Wechsler Memory Scale-III

$^**$Composite of Letter Number Sequencing and Spatial Span

**Table 3.** Subject’s thyroid function test levels during hypothyroidism and thyroid hormone-replaced conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Hypothyroid Mean (±SD)</th>
<th>Thyroid Hormone-replaced Mean (±SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TSH (μIU/mL)</td>
<td>119.8 (77.7)</td>
<td>0.7 (1.3)$^*$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free T4 (ng/dL)</td>
<td>&lt;0.4 (NA)$^*$</td>
<td>1.5 (0.4)$^*$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free T3 (pg/dL)</td>
<td>&lt;160.0 (NA)$^*$</td>
<td>354.4 (89.2)$^*$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^*$All measured values represent the lowest level of assay detectability.

$^p<0.0001$ change from hypothyroid level

**Fig. 1.** Relationship of selected test scores during the hypothyroid state to thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH) levels

---
to an extent equal to or greater than the controls on all measures except for one: the Thurstone Word Fluency test. On this particular cognitive evaluation, there was a significantly greater improvement for the controls than the subjects \( p<0.05 \).

**Correlational analysis of TSH and neuropsychological functioning during hypothyroidism**

Significant correlations \( p<0.05 \) were obtained between serum TSH concentration during the hypothyroid state and performance of the subjects on the Working Memory Index \( p=0.02 \) and the Thurstone Word Fluency test \( p=0.02 \). A graph depicting these relationships is shown in Figure 1. There were no other statistically significant correlations of TSH with measures of neuropsychological functioning during hypothyroidism, including measures of depression and anxiety.

**Correlational analysis of anxiety and depression and neuropsychological functioning**

None of the neurocognitive (or psychomotor) test scores were found to be significantly correlated \( p>0.10 \) with the measures of depression or anxiety represented by the BDI-2 and STAI scores, respectively.

**DISCUSSION**

We found that the state of overt, iatrogenically induced hypothyroidism in our subjects was associated with cognitive dysfunction limited to memory, specifically working memory, compared to a control group. We also found that Working Memory Index performance was inversely proportional to TSH during this condition. Working memory is considered a limited-capacity store for short term retention and mental manipulation of information – both verbal and visual (Strauss et al. 2006), and the Working Memory Index scores for our study participants reflected both of these dimensions. Our findings corroborated those of other investigators regarding the specificity of hypothyroid-related cognitive impairment (Burmeister et al. 2001; Miller et al. 2007), but also suggest that the more elevated the hypothyroid subject’s TSH level, the worse their performance in this particular cognitive domain.

At the follow-up evaluation (i.e. the second testing session for each group and following approximately 8 weeks of thyroid hormone replacement for the initially hypothyroid cohort), there were no statistically significant differences between the subjects and controls on any of the neuropsychological measures. Consistent with some degree of ‘practice effect’ being operative (Strauss et al. 2006), both the subjects and the controls improved on all of the neurocognitive measures at the second testing session, and the degree of improvement for the subjects was either statistically similar to or greater than the controls on all but one measure – Thurstone Word Fluency. This is a test of written verbal fluency, a cognitive domain shown to be adversely affected by hypothyroidism in a controlled study by Osterweil et al. (1992). Although our subjects did not manifest a significant difference in performance on this measure when hypothyroid compared to the controls, their relative lack of improvement when thyroid hormone replaced implies an inability to benefit to the same extent from the ‘practice effect’ apparent for the controls. This suggests that the subject’s hypothyroid state at the time of initial testing affected his or her implicit or explicit learning ability in this cognitive domain (Reber et al. 1999). Remarkably, performance on this written verbal fluency test was the only other neuropsychological measure (i.e. other than Working Memory Index) with which there was a significant correlation between subject performance while hypothyroid and TSH (i.e. the higher the TSH, the worse the performance).

The etiology and significance of the inverse correlations between TSH and subject performance on these neurocognitive measures during hypothyroidism are uncertain. While other investigators have looked for a relationship between symptoms and signs of hypothyroidism and TSH level, most of these studies have either not included (or not specifically examined) neuropsychological manifestations of hypothyroidism; or have examined subjects exclusively with subclinical rather than overt hypothyroidism – different conditions with presumably different effects on the brain (Davis & Tremont 2007; Saravanan et al. 2006) (with normal peripheral thyroid hormone concentrations in the case of the former versus below normal concentrations in the case of the latter). In an examination of patients similar to our subjects, Meier and colleagues found no significant correlation between TSH level and various clinical and metabolic markers of so-called ‘tissue’ hypothyroidism (e.g. ankle reflex time, total cholesterol). These authors concluded that the secretion of TSH is driven by maximal stimulation with no further increase occurring with greater severity of hypothyroidism (at least in the case of OH), and therefore that the biological effects of thyroid hormones at the peripheral tissues (neither mood nor cognition were examined) – and not TSH concentration – reflect the clinical severity of the condition (Meier et al. 2003). Ridgeway et al.’s (1980) findings of discordant responses between the pituitary and peripheral target tissues in hypothyroid patients treated with T3 appear to support a similar conclusion.

While we know that at the time of our subject’s hypothyroid evaluation, peripheral thyroid hormone levels were undetectable by our laboratories’ serum assays, we do not know the level of thyroid hormone in our subject’s brains. We can, of course, assume that the brain levels of T3 and T4 were also very low, but in that the so-called ‘brain thyroid economy’ is very tightly controlled and not dependent directly on peripheral thyroid function (Dratman et al. 1983), and central nervous system measurements were not taken, the
levels are, in fact, unknown. Although TSH as a surrogate for severity of hypothyroidism would seem to assume that all patients' hypothalami are working normally and similarly, our data do suggest that out of a battery of neuropsychological measurements assessing a broad range of cognitive domains, performance on only the particular cognitive tests evidencing a significant effect from hypothyroidism, in our cohort, correlate (inversely) with TSH level during the hypothyroid state. Unlike Cleare et al. (1995), with a somewhat similar cohort of hypothyroid subjects, we did not find that degree of depression during hypothyroidism correlated with TSH level. This could be attributable to differences in our respective subjects in terms of duration and abruptness of onset of hypothyroidism (i.e. relatively prolonged, gradually developing hypothyroidism without treatment in the case of Cleare's subjects; compared to abrupt, short term, severe hypothyroidism in subjects recently not hypothyroid, for our subjects).

Our findings therefore raise the possibility that contrary to the case with 'peripheral tissue' hypothyroidism, serum TSH does, in fact, reflect central thyroid hormone availability and, thus, the severity of 'brain hypothyroidism' as it relates to cognition (i.e. the more severe the condition, the worse the performance on cognitive measures affected by the condition), in overt hypothyroidism. An alternative explanation is that thyroid stimulating hormone itself has an adverse effect on particular aspects of cognition, at least at very high levels; and, the higher the level, the more significant the effect. This possibility of a direct pathologic effect of TSH on the brain obviously requires further exploration, and may, for example, be at least partially involved in the relationship between thyroid hypofunction and rapid cycling bipolar disorder (Gyulai et al. 2003). Although we believe this apparent relationship between TSH and cognition in overt hypothyroidism to be interesting and deserving of further investigation, we recognize that inferences from our data are certainly preliminary in that our study is exploratory, with a number of limitations which we intend to overcome in future research. These limitations include a small number of subjects, measurements at only two points in time, and a cohort of subjects with relatively abrupt, iatrogenically induced changes in thyroid status with a history of thyroid cancer. Another potential shortcoming of our study relates to the fact that, despite no historical or clinical data to suggest thyroid dysfunction in our controls, thyroid dysfunction was not biochemically ruled-out in these individuals. In that our subjects were thyroid cancer patients, it might also be argued that the control subjects should have also been thyroid cancer patients, but in a persistent state of thyroid hormone replacement. Additionally, our decision to not control for multiple comparisons in the setting of our small sample size and multiplicity of tests raises the issue of statistical validity. We understand that this decision is open to criticism; but believe that our rationale is sound: Adjustment for multiple comparisons controls for Type I errors, but inflates Type II errors. As this is an exploratory study, we were more concerned about Type II errors leading to erroneous results so we did not elect to perform a Bonferroni adjustment. Despite the aforementioned limitations, the fact that the only two neurocognitive measures evidencing an adverse effect from hypothyroidism were the same (and the only) measures demonstrating a correlation between performance and TSH during hypothyroidism would seem to mitigate against occurrence by chance.

We look forward to exploring these and other brain-thyroid interactions without the above-noted limitations, and hope that our preliminary findings will encourage others to do likewise.
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