# Levels of selected contaminants in fish muscle from upper Nitra River

## Jaroslav Andreji<sup>1</sup>, Petr Dvořák<sup>2</sup>

- 1 Department of Poultry Science and Small Farm Animals, Faculty of Agrobiology and Food Resources, Slovak University of Agriculture, Nitra, Slovak Republic
- 2 University of South Bohemia in Ceske Budejovice, Faculty of Fisheries and Protection of Waters, South Bohemian Research Center of Aquaculture and Biodiversity of Hydrocenoses, Institute of Aquaculture and Protection of waters, Ceske Budejovice, Czech Republic

Correspondence to:	Ing. Jaroslav Andreji, PhD.
-	Department of Poultry Science and Small Farm Animals
	Faculty of Agrobiology and Food Resources
	Slovak University of Agriculture, Nitra, Slovak Republic.
	теl: +421 37 641 4700; е-ман: jaroslav.andreji@uniag.sk

Submitted: 2018-06-20 Accepted: 2018-09-17 Published online: 2018-10-20

Key words: heavy metal; fish; ecological risk; Nitra River; Slovakia; correlation

Neuroendocrinol Lett 2018; 39(4):315–320 PMID: 30531703 NEL390418A09 © 2018 Neuroendocrinology Letters • www.nel.edu

Abstract
OBJECTIVES: This study determines the levels of selected contaminants in the muscle of three common fish species from the upper course of the Nitra River.
DESIGN: Were detected levels of Zn, Cu, Ni, Cr, Pb, Cd and Hg in the muscle and correlations among selected metals as well as standard length and total weight in brown trout (*Salmo trutta* morpha *fario*), Alpine bullhead (*Cottus poecilopus*) and grayling (*Thymallus thymallus*).
RESULTS: The content of analysed metals (mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> wet weight) ranged as follows: brown trout – Zn 5.86–12.97, Cu 0.51–0.76, Ni 0.00–0.37, Cr 0.18–0.41, Pb 0.00–0.34, Cd 0.03–0.13, Hg 0.04–0.07; Alpine bullhead – Zn 7.02–13.68, Cu 0.34–0.62, Ni 0.00–1.13, Cr 0.19–0.24, Pb 0.00–0.37, Cd 0.03–0.09, Hg 0.06–0.18; grayling – Zn 3.38–6.36, Cu – 0.46–0.62, Ni 0.04–0.22, Cr 0.13–0.22, Pb 0.00–0.25, Cd 0.02–0.09, Hg 0.05–0.12, respectively.

**CONCLUSIONS:** The Slovak permissible limits for safe consumption, defined in the Commission Regulations No. 1881/2006 and 629/2008, in the case of Pb, Cd and Hg were exceeded in 10%, 63% and 0%, respectively.

## INTRODUCTION

Occurrence of contaminants, their frequency and concentration mainly depends on human activities, although sometimes impurities may be of geological origin (Has-Schön *et al.* 2006; Haluzova *et al.* 2010; Mikulikova *et al.* 2011). The Nitra River is known by presence of inorganic pollutants, which entered the Nitra River mainly by sewage waters in middle and upper part of the river (Andreji *et al.* 2006). Additional pollution originates from power plant factory, chemical factory, leather works, alcohol and starch factory and lignite coal mines (Curlik and Matusova 1994). As a principal source of contaminants can by labeled the fly ash, which in 1965<sup>th</sup> leaked from the fly ash deposition into the riverbed in volume of cca 1.5 mil m<sup>3</sup> and contaminated downstream approximately 130 km long river course. Due to the Nitra River belongs to the most contaminated rivers in the Slovak Republic, mainly by heavy metals and metalloids (Andreji *et al.* 2012; Andreji *et al.* 2018).

This environmental status is known over 50 years but, the first published data about fish con-

#### Jaroslav Andreji, Petr Dvořák

tamination from the Nitra River as whole were published at the end of 20<sup>th</sup> century (Stranai 1998). Further information, mostly from the middle and lower parts of the Nitra River, are dated at the start of 21<sup>st</sup> century (Andreji *et al.* 2005; Stranai & Andreji 2005; Andreji *et al.* 2006; Andreji & Stranai 2007; Stranai & Andreji 2007).

Nowadays, the Nitra River represents the fishing territory administrated by 6 local organizations of the Slovak Angling Federation, covering about 11,000 members. From this aspect, the fish can pose a health risk to local and visiting anglers and their families as consumers, who eat fish more often.

The aim of this study was to determine the levels of selected contaminants (Zn, Cu, Ni, Cr, Pb, Cd and Hg) in the muscle of three common fish species from the upper course of the Nitra River (Slovak Republic) and their comparison with permissible limits for safe consumption. Furthermore, correlations among the metal concentrations, standard length, total weight and age of fish were analysed.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

In this study, three common fish species (brown trout – *Salmo trutta* m. *fario*, Alpine bullhead – *Cottus poe-cilopus* and grayling – *Thymallus thymallus*) inhabiting



Fig. 1. The site of sample collection (upper Nitra River, Slovak Republic).

salmon/grayling zones were collected by electrofishing in September and October 2007 from upper Nitra River (Slovakia) between 152.3–156.7 river kilometer, near the Poluvsie and Nitrianské Pravno villages (Figure 1).

Fish (n=30, 10 for each fish species) were evaluated by standard methods used in ichthyology (standard length – SL, total length – TL and weight – W measurements, age determination by scales and vertebras). After the biometric data recording, 2–3 g samples of fish muscle were obtained from the dorsal part of fish body, without skin and bones. After collection, the muscle samples were kept at –18 °C.

For analysis, two grams of muscle sample was mineralised by microwave digestion (MARS X-press, CEM USA) according to EN 13804 and EN 13805. Digested samples were analysed for the presence of Zn, Cu, Ni, Cr, Pb and Cd by fast sequential flame atomic absorption spectrophotometer (FSF–AAS) Varian AA 240FS (Agilent, USA). The total mercury content was determined directly in the sample units by the selective mercury analyser (Advanced mercury analyser, AMA-254, Altec, Czech Republic) based on atomic absorption spectroscopy. Values of monitored heavy metals are presented on a wet weight (w.w.) basis in mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> and compared with hygienic limits presented in Commission Regulations (EC) No. 1881/2006 and (EC) No. 629/2008.

For statistical analysis, One-way ANOVA test, Multiple Range test (LSD method), Kruskal-Wallis test, t-test, Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and linear model of simple regression (least square fit) were used together with the computer program Statgraphics Centurion 18 Professional (Statgraphics Technologies Inc., USA).

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

## Content of analysed metals in fish

Content of zinc (Zn) in fish muscle tissue varied broadly from 3.38 to 13.68 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> w.w., with statistically significant (p < 0.05) lowest mean value (5.13 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup>) in grayling and highest mean value (9.64 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup>) in Alpine bullhead (Table 2). Lower median values were detected in muscle of brown trout (Salmo trutta) from the South Canterbury rivers, New Zealand (Stewart et al. 2011). Zn is together with Fe and Cu one of the most cumulative element mainly in cyprinids, compare to other fish species (Stranai & Andreji 2005; Andreji et al. 2005, 2006, 2012). Consequently, in contrast to Cu and Fe, Zn does not form free radical ions, and has antioxidant properties (Powell 2000). Zn is essential due to its vital structural and/or catalytic importance in more than 300 proteins that play important roles in piscine growth, reproduction, development, vision and immune function (Bury et al. 2003; Malekpouri et al. 2011). Zn is a competitive ion for Cd and prevents the toxic effect of this metal (Shaffi et al. 2001; Malekpouri et al. 2011). On the other hand, higher level of Zn in body may cause intoxication. Hygienic limit for

Zn content in fish muscle is not set in Commission Regulations.

The copper (Cu) concentration in analysed fish muscle samples ranged from 0.34 to 0.76 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> w.w., also with statistically significance (p < 0.05) among analysed fish species. Lowest mean value 0.50 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> in Alpine bullhead and highest mean value 0.63 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> in brown trout (Table 2) were detected. Similar mean value (518 µg.kg<sup>-1</sup> w.w.) of accumulated Cu was presented in muscle of relative fish species Salmo trutta macrostigma from the Munzur Stream, Tunceli, Turkey (Can et al. 2012). Opposite, lower results  $(0.21-0.48 \mu g.g^{-1} w.w.)$ in comparison to our findings have been published by Stewart et al. (2011) from the South Canterbury rivers, New Zealand. Cu is an essential elements, which acts as a cofactor for a number of key proteins (Bury et al. 2003; El Basuini et al. 2017). However, in excess, Cu is toxic. In tissues where Cu accumulates, the primary toxic action is predominantly the production of free radicals. Dietary Cu toxicity in the gut includes inhibition of digestive enzymes and reduced gut motility (Kim et al. 2018). Similar to Zn, the hygienic limit for Cu content in fish muscle is not set in Commission Regulations too.

Nickel (Ni) values fluctuated in analysed samples at levels of  $0.00-1.13 \text{ mg.kg}^{-1}$  w.w., but statistically significant differences among fish species were not observed (*p*>0.05). The highest mean concentration in Alpine bullhead (0.27 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup>) and lowest mean concentration in grayling were recorded (Table 2). Lower Ni concentrations have been reported in muscle of brown trout (*Salmo trutta*) from the South Canterbury rivers, New Zealand (Stewart *et al.* 2011). Unlike Cu, the essentiality of Ni in fish remains unsubstantiated (Pyle and Couture 2012). Nickel acts primarily as a respiratory

toxicant (Pane *et al.* 2004). It has been reported that Ni induces severe morphological and histopathological damage to vital organs in fish where accumulates, mainly in the gill, liver and kidney (Driessnack *et al.* 2017). In addition, Ni also causes reproductive toxicity in fish – significant reduction in egg production and/or egg hatchability (Alsop *et al.* 2014). For Ni the hygienic limit in fish muscle is not set by Commission Regulations.

The chromium (Cr) accumulation rate reached values 0.13-0.41 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> w.w. in analysed fish species muscle (Table 2), with statistically significant differences among fish (p < 0.05). The highest mean concentration in brown trout and lowest mean concentration in grayling were noted. Comparable results to our findings have been presented in previous study carried out by Stranai (1998) at the same sampling site for brown trout (0.25–0.35 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> w.w.) and grayling (0.20-0.28 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> w.w.). Concentrations below limit of detection are known for brown trout from the work of Stewart et al. (2011). Cr is considered to be essential for normal carbohydrate and lipid metabolism and as a cofactor for insulin activity (Reid 2012). The chromium is not typical contaminant which generally accumulates and/or biomagnificates in fish in comparison to other ones. According to Palaniappan and Karthikeyan (2009), Cr may be bioaccumulated by fish, but only at extremely high exposure concentrations, and did not increase concentrations through various trophic levels (Seenayya & Prahalad 1987). Its toxicity depends on the oxidation state (Ride 2012). Also for Cr the hygienic limit in fish muscle is not defined by Commission Regulations.

The lead (Pb) content in fish muscle achieved values from 0.00-0.37 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> w.w. (Table 2) with highest

Species	N	A	SL (mn	n)	TW (g)		
Species	Ν	Age -	mean ± SD	range	mean ± SD	range	
brown trout	10	1–2	167.4±11.37	150-182	80.8±16.96	49–59	
Alpine bullhead	10	4–5	90.7±8.47	80-103	17.5±4.25	11–24	
grayling	10	1–2	174.6±39.78	110-220	83.6±45.93	18-142	

N – number of individuals, SL – standard length, TW – total weight, SD – standard deviation

Tab. 2. Content of selected metals (mean ± SD and minimum	<ul> <li>maximum in parenthesis) in muscle of</li> </ul>	f analysed fishes (mg.kg <sup>-1</sup> w.w.)
---	--	--

Species	Zn	Cu	Ni	Cr	Pb	Cd	Hg
brown trout	8.21 <sup>b</sup> ±2.26	0.63 <sup>b</sup> ±0.10	0.14 <sup>a</sup> ±0.14	0.34 <sup>b</sup> ±0.07	0.10 <sup>a</sup> ±0.13	0.07 <sup>a</sup> ±0.03	0.06 <sup>a</sup> ±0.01
	(5.86–12.97)	(0.51–0.76)	(0.00–0.37)	(0.18–0.41)	(0.00–0.34)	(0.03–0.13)	(0.04–0.07)
Alpine	9.64 <sup>b</sup> ±1.95	0.50 <sup>a</sup> ±0.10	0.27 <sup>a</sup> ±0.33	0.21ª±0.01	0.13 <sup>a</sup> ±0.13	0.06 <sup>a</sup> ±0.02	0.09 <sup>b</sup> ±0.04
bullhead	(7.02–13.68)	(0.34–0.62)	(0.00–1.13)	(0.19–0.24)	(0.00–0.37)	(0.03–0.09)	(0.06–0.18)
grayling	5.13 <sup>a</sup> ±1.10	0.53 <sup>a</sup> ±0.06	0.11 <sup>a</sup> ±0.06	0.18 <sup>a</sup> ±0.03	0.06 <sup>a</sup> ±0.08	0.05 <sup>a</sup> ±0.02	0.07 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.02
	(3.38–6.36)	(0.46–0.62)	(0.04–0.22)	(0.13–0.22)	(0.00-0.25)	(0.02–0.09)	(0.05–0.12)

The values with identical superscript in the column are not significant at the p<0.05 level

mean concentration in Alpine bullhead and lowest mean concentration in grayling. Statistically significant differences among anylsed fish species were not con-

Tab. 3. Correlations among monitored metals in brown trout.

	Zn	Cu	Ni	Cr	Pb	Cd	Hg
Zn	-						
Cu	0.338	-					
Ni	-0.016	0.516	-				
Cr	0.116	0.509	0.721**	-			
Pb	-0.303	0.477	0.811**	0.549	-		
Cd	-0.176	0.040	0.496	0.392	0.472	-	
Hg	-0.029	-0.153	-0.147	-0.435	-0.037	0.137	-
SL	0.292	0.484	-0.013	-0.179	-0.025	0.107	0.010
тw	0.402	0.626	0.181	0.024	0.082	0.266	0.028
Age	0.084	0.640*	0.277	-0.040	0.242	-0.146	-0.005

Significant differences \*p<0.05; \*\*p<0.01

**Tab. 4.** Correlations among monitored metals in Alpine bullhead.

	Zn	Cu	Ni	Cr	Pb	Cd	Hg
Zn	-						
Cu	-0.194	-					
Ni	0.245	0.003	-				
Cr	0.104	-0.360	0.073	-			
Pb	-0.427	-0.197	-0.264	-0.176	-		
Cd	0.1467	0.233	0.396	0.001	-0.701*	-	
Hg	0.638*	-0.062	-0.299	-0.174	-0.315	0.458	-
SL	-0.039	0.247	-0.623	-0.471	0.399	-0.379	0.475
тw	-0.066	0.195	-0.600	-0.312	0.356	-0.458	0.421
Age	-0.216	0.438	-0.476	-0.519	0.306	-0.118	0.417

Significant differences \*p<0.05

Tab. 5. Correlations among monitored metals in grayling.

			5		5,75		
	Zn	Cu	Ni	Cr	Pb	Cd	Hg
Zn	-						
Cu	0.680*	-					
Ni	0.423	0.620	-				
Cr	-0.184	-0.137	-0.267	-			
Pb	0.107	0.475	0.332	0.031	-		
Cd	-0.925***	-0.641*	-0.451	0.123	-0.088	-	
Hg	0.157	-0.008	0.333	0.160	-0.255	-0.418	-
SL	-0.844**	-0.767**	-0.525	0.291	0.059	0.826**	-0.197
тw	-0.871***	-0.823**	-0.556	0.346	-0.057	0.853**	-0.161
Age	-0.753*	-0.706**	-0.505	0.393	0.135	0.663*	-0.022

Significant differences \**p*<0.05; \*\**p*<0.01; \*\*\**p*<0.001

firmed (p>0.05). Lower mean values of accumulated Pb in the muscle of brown trout were reported by Valova et al. (2010) from the upper Morava River, Czech Republic, as well as from the South Canterbury rivers, New Zealand (Stewart et al. 2011). On the other hand, higher mean Pb concentrations have been presented in previous study (Stranai 1998) for brown trout and grayling from the upper Nitra River and for brown trout from the brooks of the military training area of Boletice and from the upper course of the Loučka River, both Czech Republic (Dvorak et al. 2016, Vitek et al. 2007). Lead has unknown biological function and there exists no evidence that it is required, or otherwise beneficial, for life (Mager 2012; Rubio-Franchini et al. 2016). Furthermore, Pb is toxic even at low doses, but does not biomagnify along the food web, however some trophic transfer assuredly takes place for some species (Farag et al. 1998; Mager 2012). In the case of Pb, the permissible limit in fish muscle is set to 0.3 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> w.w. This limit was exceeded in 3 samples (10 %) - two in brown trout and one in Alpine bullhead, but mean values for fish species were below this limit.

Content of cadmium (Cd) in muscle of analysed fish varied closely from 0.02 to 0.13 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> w.w., with highest mean concentration (0.07 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup>) recorded in brown trout and lowest mean concentration (0.05 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup>) recorded in grayling (Table 2). Statistically significant differences in Cd accumulation among fish species were not confirmed (p>0.05). In the previous study from the upper Nitra River (Stranai 1998) mean values of 0.04-0.1 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> w.w. and 0.05-0.06 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> w.w. have been presented for the brown trout and grayling, respectively. Lower Cd concentrations in muscle of brown trout from upper Morava River (Valova et al. 2010) and from the brooks of the military training area of Boletice (Dvorak et al. 2016) were presented. Cd like Pb is regarded as a non-essential element and lacks the essential nutrient properties of other transition metals, such as Cu, Zn, Co, Mn, and Mb (McGeer et al. 2012). Cd interacts with Cu chaperones, can also bind to structural elements such as zinc-finger proteins, and readily binds to metallothioneins (Waldron et al. 2009). Cd bioaccumulates and bioconcentrates in aquatic organisms and the rate depends on the site of exposure (waterborne/dietborne). Cd accumulates in nearly all tissues and organs, with liver, kidney, and gill (or gut) reaching relatively high levels and muscle tissue being generally much lower (Stranai & Andreji 2005; Andreji & Stranai 2007; McGeer et al. 2012). On the other hand, toxicity of Cd for aquatic species is generally dependent on concentrations of its bioavailable forms (species), as defined by the total dissolved concentration in combination with the underlying water chemistry (Di Toro et al. 2001). Toxicity of Cd is unequivocally linked to ionoregulatory disturbance, production of reactive oxygen species, reduction of survival and growth, disruption of immune system, hatching disruption, occurrence of developmental abnormalities and tissues degradations

(Livingstone 2001; Lizardo-Daudt & Kennedy 2008). According to Commission Regulations, the hygienic limit for Cd is set at the value of 0.05 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> w.w. 19 samples analysed (63%) exceeded this limit – 7 in the case of brown trout as well as Alpine bullhead and 5 in the case of grayling. Mean values for each fish species also exceeded this limit.

The mercury (Hg) accumulated in relatively small rate (0.04-0.18 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup> w.w.). The highest mean Hg concentration (0.09 mg.kg-1) were detected in muscle of Alpine bullhead and lowest mean (0.06) observed in muscle of brown trout (Table 2). Similar results were reported by Valova et al. (2010) for brown trout muscle from the upper Morava River, Czech Republic. Higher Hg concentrations in brown trout muscle have been presented by Dvořák et al. (2016) from the brooks of the military training area of Boletice, Czech Republic and from the South Canterbury rivers, New Zealand (Stewart et al. 2011). Lower Hg muscle concentration has been presented by Can et al. (2012) for relative Salmo trutta macrostigma from the Munzur Stream, Tunceli, Turkey. Mercury, in either its inorganic [Hg(II)] or its organic [MeHg(I)] form, is not known to have any positive and essential role in growth, reproduction, or survival of fish (Kidd & Batchelar 2012). Aquatic organisms can obtain methylmercury from food, water, and sediment, and they bioaccumulate methylmercury with continued exposure because elimination is very slow relative to the rate of uptake. Concentrations of MeHg(I) are an important predictor of those in fish tissues because it is this form that is bioconcentrated into lower trophic levels and then biomagnified up through the food web (Wiener et al. 2003; Kidd & Batchelar 2012). The hygienic limit for Hg content in fish muscle defined in Commissions Regulations is 0.5 mg.kg-1 w.w. No analysed samples exceeded this border.

#### Metal relationships

Generally, among analysed metals, as well as between total weight and accumulated metals dominated a positive correlations, in some cases also with statistical significance (p<0.05). Opposite relationships have been detected between metals accumulation and standard length and age, with statistical significant differences as well (Tables 3–5).

There are known studies focused on inter-metal relationships, as well as on metal concentration and length or weight of fish, with different results (Burger *et al.* 2002; Andreji *et al.* 2005, 2006; 2012; Mendil *et al.* 2005; Dvorak *et al.* 2014, 2015). These disproportions and relationships among metals are not well understood (Burger & Campbell 2004; Dvorak *et al.* 2016). Metal bioaccumulation and internal dynamics vary considerably among species; it is difficult to predict accumulation beyond a particular organism in a particular environment, or group of organisms in similar environments; and accumulated contaminant fractionates into metabolically reactive and detoxified pools.

Detoxification responses are dynamic and linked to the damage-repair-acclimation process (Adams *et al.* 2011; McGeer *et al.* 2012).

#### CONCLUSION

Current information about metal concentration in muscle of common three fish species from upper Nitra River was presented. Althoug the studied site is located in submountain zone outside intensive industrial and/or agricultural areas, in the case of Pb and Cd we found several samples exceeding the permissible limits defined in Commission Regulations (EC) No. 1881/2006 and (EC) No. 629/2008, respectively. From this point of view, the fish from the upper course of the Nitra River are not safe for direct human consumption.

Questionable is source of contamination by Pb and Cd. As a main source of contamination can considered air pollution from the nearby power station (direct distance cca 20 km), contaminating air from low-quality brown coal combustion. According to some authors (Bencko *et al.* 1995; Kacik 2003), during this burning process fly ash is forming, which concentrates 100–1,000 times higher amounts of contaminants than coal. This hypothesis should be confirmed or rejected by the further investigation. On a small scale it is also possible that fish migration from polluted to unpolluted sites during higher water levels contributed to our findings.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to express our gratitude to Roy Koushik, MSc. for the critical reading and correction of the manuscript. This study was financially supported by the projects VEGA 1/0511/15, VEGA 1/0625/15, CENAKVA (No. CZ.1.05/2.1.00/01.0024) and CENA-KVA II (No. LO1205 under the NPU I program).

#### REFERENCES

- 1 Adams WJ, Blust R, Borgmann U, Brix KV, DeForest DK, Green AS, Meyer J, McGeer JC, Paquin P, Rainbow P, Wood C (2011). Utility of tissue residues for predicting effects of metals on aquatic organisms. Integr Environ Assess Manag. **7**: 75–98.
- 2 Alsop D, Lall SP, Wood CM (2014). Reproductive impacts and physiological adaptations of zebrafish to elevated dietary nickel. Comp Biochem Physiol. **165C**: 67–75.
- 3 Andreji J, Dvorak P, Dvorakova Liskova Z, Massanyi P, Stranai I, Nad P, Skalicka M (2012). Content of selected metals in muscle of cyprinid fish species from the Nitra River, Slovakia. Neuroendocrinol Lett. 33(Supp.3): 84–89.
- 4 Andreji J, Dvorak P, Fik M (2018). Distribution of Heavy Metals (Ni, Co, Pb, Cd, Hg) in Tissues of European Chub (*Squalius cephalus* L.) from the Middle Course of the Nitra River, Slovakia. Advanced Research in Life Sciences **2**: in press
- 5 Andreji J, Stranai I (2007). A contamination of tissues from fish originated from the lower part of Nitra river with some metals (Fe, Mn, Zn, Pb, Cu, Co, Ni, Cr, Cd). Slovak J Anim Sci. **40**(3): 146–156.
- 6 Andreji J, Stranai I, Massanyi P, Valent M (2005). Concentration of Selected Metals in Muscle of Various Fish Species. J Environ Sci Health A Tox Hazard Subst Environ Eng. **40**(4): 899–912.

- 7 Andreji J, Stranai I, Massanyi P, Valent M (2006). Accumulation of Some Metals in Muscle of Five Fish Species from Lower Nitra River. J Environ Sci Health A Tox Hazard Subst Environ Eng. **41**(11): 2607–2622.
- 8 Bencko V, Cikrt M, Lener J (1995). Toxic metals in living and working environment of human, Grada Publishing, Praha, 288 p.
- 9 Burger J, Campbell KR (2004). Species differences in contaminants in fish on and adjacent to the Oak Ridge Reservation, Tennessee. Environ Res. 96: 145–155.
- 10 Burger J, Gaines KF, Boring CS, Stephens WL, Snodgrass J, Gochfeld M (2002). Mercury and selenium in fish from the Savannah River: species, trophic levels and locational differences. Environ Res. **87**: 108–118.
- 11 Bury NR, Walker PA, Glover CN (2003). Nutritive metal uptake in teleost fish. J Exp Biol. **206**: 11–23.
- 12 Can E, Yabanli M, Kehayias G, Aksu O, Kocabas M, Demir V, Kayim M, Kutluyer F, Seker S (2012). Determination of Bioaccumulation of Heavy Metals and Selenium in Tissues of Brown Trout *Salmo trutta macrostigma* (Dumeril, 1858) from Munzur Stream, Tunceli, Turkey. Bull Environ Contam Toxicol. **89**: 1186–1189.
- 13 Curlik J, Matusova L (1994). Natural and Man-induced Factors of Soil Pollution. Mitt D Osterr Bodenkundlichen Gesellschaft 50: 43–60.
- 14 Di Toro DM, Allen H, Bergman H, Meyer J, Paquin P, Santore R (2001). A biotic ligand model of the acute toxicity of metals: I. Technical basis. Environ Toxicol Chem. 20: 2383–2396.
- 15 Driessnack MK, Jamwal A, Niyogi S (2017). Effects of chronic exposure to waterborne copper and nickel in binary mixture on tissue-specific metal accumulation and reproduction in fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*). Chemosphere **185**: 964–974.
- 16 Dvorak P, Andreji J, Dvorakova-Liskova Z, Vejsada P (2014). Assessment of selected heavy metals pollution in water, sediments and fish in the basin Dyje, Czech Republic. Neuroendocrinol Lett. **35**: 26–34.
- 17 Dvorak P, Andreji J, Mraz J, Dvorakova-Liskova Z (2015). Concentration of heavy and toxic metals in fish and sediments from the Morava river basin, Czech Republic. Neuroendocrinol Lett. 36: 126–132.
- 18 Dvorak P, Andreji J, Mraz J, Dvorakova-Liskova Z, Klufova R (2016). Concentration of heavy and toxic metals in fish and sediments from the Morava river basin, Czech Republic. Neuroendocrinol Lett. **37**: 33–37.
- 19 El Basuini MF, El-Hais AM, Dawood MAO, Abou-Zeid AE-S, EL-Damrawy SZ, Khalafalla MME-S, Koshio S, Ishikawa M, Dossou S (2017). Effects of dietary copper nanoparticles and vitamin C supplementations on growth performance, immune response and stress resistance of red sea bream, *Pagrus major*. Aquac Nutr. 23: 1329–1340.
- 20 Farag AM, Woodward DF, Goldstein JN, Brumbaugh W, Meyer JS (1998). Concentrations of metals associated with mining waste in sediments, biofilm, benthic macroinvertebrates, and fish from the Coeur d'Alene River basin, Idaho. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. **34**: 119–127.
- 21 Haluzova I, Modra H, Blahova J, Marsalek P, Siroka Z, Groch L, Svobodova Z (2010) Effects of subchronic exposure to Spartakus (prochloraz) on common carp Cyprinus carpio. Neuroendocrinol Lett. **31**: 105–113.
- 22 Has–Schön E, Bogut I, Strelec I (2006). Heavy metal profile in five fish species included in human diet domiciled in the end flow of river Neretva (Croatia). Arch Environ Contam Toxicol. 50: 545–551.
- 23 Kacik F (2003) Selected toxic substances their sources, analysis and influence on organism. Chemické listy 97(8): 134–141.
- 24 Kidd K, Batchelar K (2012). Mercury. In: Wood CM, Farrell AD, Brauner CJ (Eds.), Homeostasis and Toxicology of Non-Essential Metals: Fish Physiology, vol. 31B, Academic Press, New York, pp. 237–295.
- 25 Kim TH, Choi JY, Jung M-M, Oh S-Y, Choi CY (2018). Effects of waterborne copper on toxicity stress and apoptosis responses in red seabream, *Pagrus major*. Mol Cell Toxicol. **14**: 201–210.
- 26 Livingstone DR (2001). Contaminant-stimulated reactive oxygen species production and oxidative damage in aquatic organisms. Mar Pollut Bull. **42**: 656–666.
- 27 Lizardo-Daudt HM, Kennedy C (2008). Effects of cadmium chloride on the development of rainbow trout Oncorhynchus mykiss early life stages. J Fish Biol. **73**: 702–718.

- 28 Mager EM (2012). Lead. In: Wood CM, Farrell AD, Brauner CJ (Eds.), Homeostasis and Toxicology of Non-Essential Metals: Fish Physiology, vol. 31B, Academic Press, New York, pp. 185–236.
- 29 Malekpouri P, Moshtaghie AA, Kazemian M, Soltani M (2011). Protective effect of zinc on related parameters to bone metabolism in common carp fish (*Cyprinus carpio* L.) intoxified with cadmium. Fish Physiol Biochem. **37**: 187–196.
- 30 McGeer JC, Niyogi S, Smith DS (2012). Cadmium. In: Wood CM, Farrell AD, Brauner CJ (Eds.), Homeostasis and Toxicology of Non-Essential Metals: Fish Physiology, vol. 31B, Academic Press, New York, pp. 125–184.
- 31 Mendil D, Unal OF, Tuzen M, Soylak M (2010). Determination of trace metals in different fish species and sediments from the River Yesilirmak in Tokat, Turkey. Food Chem Toxicol. **48**: 1383–1392.
- 32 Mikulikova I, Modra H, Blahova J, Marsalek P, Groch L, Siroka Z, Kruzikova K, Jarkovsky J, Littnerova S, Svobodova Z (2011). The effects of Click 500 SC (terbuthylazine) on common carp *Cyprinus carpio* under (sub)chronic conditions. Neuroendocrinol Lett. **32**: 15–24.
- 33 Palaniappan PL, Karthikeyan S (2009). Bioaccumulation and depuration of chromium in the selected organs and whole body tissues of freshwater fish *Cirrhinus mrigala* individually and in a binary solution with nickel. J Environ Sci. **21**: 229–236.
- 34 Pane EF, Haque A, Goss GG, Wood CM (2004). The physiological consequences of exposure to chronic, sublethal waterborne nickel in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*): exercise vs resting physiology. J Exp Biol. **207**: 1249–1261.
- 35 Powell S R (2000). The antioxidant properties of zinc. J Nutr. **130**: 1447S–1454S.
- 36 Pyle G, Couture P (2012). Nickel. In: Wood CM, Farrell AD, Brauner CJ (Eds.), Homeostasis and Toxicology of Essential Metals: Fish Physiology, vol. 31A, Academic Press, New York, pp. 253–289.
- 37 Reid SD (2012). Molibden and chromium. In: Wood CM, Farell AP, Brauner CJ (Eds.), Homeostasis and Toxicology of Essential Metals: Fish Physiology, vol. 31A, Academic Press, New York, pp. 375–415.
- 38 Rubio-Franchini I, Lopez-Hernandez M, Ramos-Espinosa MG, Rico-Martinez R (2016). Bioaccumulation of Metals Arsenic, Cadmium, and Lead in Zooplankton and Fishes from the Tula River Watershed, Mexico. Water Air Soil Pollut. 227: 5
- 39 Seenayya G, Prahalad A K (1987). In situ compartmentation and biomagnification of chromium and manganese in industrially polluted Husainsagar Lake, Hyderabad, India. Water Air Soil Pollut. **35**: 233–239.
- 40 Shaffi SA, Manohar YR, Nandan MJ (2001). Influence of protective agents on metal induced respiratory distress in *Labeo rohita* (Ham). Bull Environ Contam Toxicol. **66**: 611–616.
- 41 Stewart M, Phillips NR, Olsen G, Hickey CW, Tipa G (2011). Organochlorines and heavy metals in wild caught food as a potential human health risk to the indigenous Māori population of South Canterbury, New Zealand. Sci Total Environ **409**: 2029–2039.
- 42 Stranai I (1998). Lead, cadmium, chromium and arsenic content in tissues of fishes from the Nitra River. Agriculture. **44**(7): 552–563.
- 43 Stranai I, Andreji J (2005). Heavy metals (Fe, Mn, Zn, Cu) content in tissues of fishes from the Nitra River. Agriculture. **51**(6): 323–331.
- 44 Stranai I, Andreji J (2007). Heavy metals (Co, Ni, Cr, Pb, Cd) content in tissues of Nitra river fishes. Slovak J Anim Sci. **40**(2): 97–104.
- 45 Valova Z, Jurajda P, Janac M, Bernardova I, Hudcova H (2010). Spatiotemporal trends of heavy metal concentrations in fish of the River Morava (Danube basin). J Environ Sci Health. **A45**: 1892–1899.
- 46 Vitek T, Spurny P, Mares J, Zikova A (2007). Heavy metal contamination of the Loucka river water ecosystem. Acta Vet Brno. **76**: 149–154.
- 47 Waldron KJ, Rutherford JC, Ford D, Robinson NJ (2009). Metalloproteins and metal sensing. Nature. **460**: 823–830.
- 48 Wiener JG, Krabbenhoft DP, Heinz GH, Scheuhammer AM (2003). Ecotoxicology of mercury. In: Hoffman DJ, Rattner BA, Burton GA Jr, Cairns J Jr (Eds.), Handbook of Ecotoxicology, 2nd ed., CRC Press, Boca Raton, pp. 409–464.